

The future of mindfulness training is digital, and the future is now[☆]

Alissa J Mrazek, Michael D Mrazek, Casey M Cherolini, Jonathan N Cloughesy, David J Cynman, Lefeba J Gougis, Alex P Landry, Jordan V Reese and Jonathan W Schooler

Digital mindfulness-based interventions (d-MBIs) present a promising path for the scalable dissemination of mindfulness instruction in the 21st century. Smartphone applications and web-based platforms can offer potential advantages over traditional face-to-face formats through enhanced accessibility, standardization, personalization, and efficacy of mindfulness training. A growing body of research has documented that a digital approach to teaching mindfulness can improve measures of attention, stress, depression, and anxiety. However, effective digital mindfulness instruction must overcome a variety of challenges, including the possibility of low engagement, shallow learning, and unaddressed obstacles or frustrations. Fortunately, best practices from multiple fields of research provide strategies to overcome these challenges.

Address

Dept. of Psychological & Brain Sciences, University of California Santa Barbara, United States

Corresponding authors:

Mrazek, Alissa J (alissa.mrazek@psych.ucsb.edu),
Mrazek, Michael D (mrazek@ucsb.edu)

Current Opinion in Psychology 2019, **28**:81–86

This review comes from a themed issue on **Mindfulness**

Edited by **Amit Bernstein, Dave Vago** and **Thorsten Barnhofer**

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2018.11.012>

2352-250X/© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Since mindfulness apps began circulating in 2007, digital mindfulness training has reached millions of people all over the world [1,2]. There are now thousands of mindfulness apps on the market, and these apps attracted more than \$150 million of venture capital in 2017 alone [1,3]. Traditional face-to-face programs like Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction are also being turned into e-courses, while digital mindfulness teacher training programs are growing in popularity as well [4,5]. This

meteoric rise of digital mindfulness-based interventions (d-MBIs) presents an unprecedented opportunity to deliver high-quality training to an increasingly internet-connected global audience. Here we review the promise, existing research, challenges, and best practices for this new era of mindfulness training.

Promise

There are several potential advantages to a digital approach to mindfulness training, including enhanced accessibility, standardization, personalization, and efficacy.

Accessibility

A key benefit of d-MBIs is that they can reduce geographical, logistical, and financial constraints that would otherwise prevent access to high quality training [6,7]. Users also report enjoying the greater accessibility and scheduling flexibility of digital training [6,8]. In one study, users of a d-MBI reported that the training's accessibility facilitated their engagement by allowing them to access it across devices and at the times of their choosing [9^{*}].

Standardization

Even a great curriculum can fail to provide benefits if it is delivered ineffectively. Digital training provides the opportunity to standardize key elements of course content and presentation, thereby ensuring that all users receive the same high-quality instruction [10,11].

Personalized learning

Digital training can also provide content that is tailored to the abilities, interests, and values of individual users. For example, d-MBIs can provide users with immediate personalized feedback, which is challenging to achieve in traditional classrooms with many students [10,12]. Overall, a personalized approach that tailors the curriculum to individual students has been shown to heighten both engagement and learning outcomes [12,13].

Efficacy

Although one might assume that in-person instruction would produce superior learning outcomes, research

[☆] The writing of this article was supported by the U.S. Department of Education's Institute of Education Sciences (grant number R305A170445).

suggests that well-designed digital training can elicit equal or even greater outcomes [8,12,14]. For instance, one comparative study found that both d-MBI's and face-to-face mindfulness interventions were equally effective in helping reduce perceived levels of depression, anxiety, and stress [15].

Existing research

A large and growing body of research suggests that face-to-face mindfulness interventions can lead to a myriad of benefits [16]. Can strictly digital MBIs that lack face-to-face interaction deliver benefits as well? Although much less research exists, here we provide a brief review of recent research into the effects of d-MBIs on three categories of outcomes: first, mindfulness and attention, second, stress, and third, depression and anxiety.

Mindfulness

Stjernswärd & Hansson [17] administered a d-MBI to 97 individuals experiencing distress due to a mental illness in their family. The eight-week course was adapted from the standard MBSR training protocol and included a total of 960 minutes of mindfulness practice. Completion of the intervention was associated with a significant increase at post-test and 3-month follow up in the Acting with Awareness subscale of the Five Facet Mindfulness Questionnaire (FFMQ; e.g. "*I find myself doing things without paying attention*"; reverse coded).

Shore *et al.* [18^{*}] delivered a much less intensive d-MBI to 110 university students. After completing just an hour-long introduction to mindfulness, participants reported improvements on the Acting with Awareness subscale of the FFMQ at post-test and 1-week follow up assessments. Noone and Hogan [19] reported a similar increase in their participants' scores on the FFMQ following a brief d-MBI.

Kemper [20] also investigated the effects of a one-hour d-MBI among health professionals. Completion of the module was associated with significant improvements on the Mindfulness Attention Awareness Scale (MAAS). Participants who engaged in a four-week d-MBI using the mobile phone application Headspace reported similar improvements on the MAAS [21].

Stress

A comprehensive meta-analysis by Jayawardene and colleagues [22^{**}] considered eight separate randomized controlled trials that measured the effects of digital mindfulness interventions on stress. The digital interventions were all administered online, and most were adapted from the MBSR protocol [23], although they varied in duration from two to twelve weeks. Meta-analysis of the eight studies found a significant medium effect size for the mindfulness interventions on perceived stress.

Further research utilizing a variety of d-MBIs and participant populations has provided additional support for the efficacy of d-MBIs in reducing stress [24–28]. However, failures to replicate this stress effect have occurred [29,30].

Depression & anxiety

Boettcher *et al.* [31] analyzed the effect of an eight-week d-MBI on reducing depression and anxiety among a sample of participants diagnosed with an anxiety disorder. The d-MBI decreased both depressive symptoms and anxiety. Similarly, Querstret *et al.* [32] found that a four-week d-MBI that consisted of lessons and guided meditations also reduced depressive symptoms and anxiety in a community sample. Krusche *et al.* [33] utilized the same course as Querstret *et al.* [32], and reported similar reductions in depression for those participants who completed the course. Finally, additional studies found a two-week d-MBI to significantly decrease anxiety and depression in university staff and students [18^{*},34].

Across these categories of outcomes, this review suggests that d-MBIs may be a promising approach for delivering mindfulness training; however, this work has limitations due to the nascence of d-MBIs. For example, a quarter of the studies described did not include a control condition. Additionally, completion rates were often quite low. Across the studies described, the average attrition rate was 34.5%. Even with participants who technically completed the intervention, 44% of the studies we reviewed mentioned problems with adherence; participants were not fully engaging in the lessons and activities as prescribed. These challenges, amongst others, are necessary to acknowledge and address as d-MBIs become increasingly commonplace.

Challenges

While d-MBIs have many potential advantages, they also face numerous challenges. A review of the literature reveals at least six categories of challenges that many d-MBIs must strive to overcome, most of which are challenges for in-person training programs as well.

Selecting an audience

No two audiences are the same. Middle school students and military veterans, for instance, have dramatically different needs, interests, and capabilities. d-MBIs must be sensitive to the distinguishing characteristics of their chosen target audience. For example, d-MBIs that include a spiritual dimension risk having users with strong religious affiliations feel that mindfulness is inconsistent with their religious beliefs [35].

Selecting objectives

A d-MBI lacking clear objectives may fail to reach its full potential impact on valued outcome measures. This is because different approaches to teaching mindfulness

may be best suited for achieving-specific outcomes. For example, Querstret *et al.* [36^{*}] observed that although a d-MBI improved three facets of mindfulness, only one facet, Acting with Awareness, mediated the effects of the d-MBI on key outcome measures of work-related rumination, fatigue, and sleep quality. One resulting hypothesis is that a d-MBI designed at the outset to improve a specific outcome may be most effective if instructional emphasis is placed on the facets of mindfulness most likely to mediate that outcome.

Audience diversity

It is also important for d-MBIs to address individual differences within their target audience. For example, a portion of users will possess a fixed mindset about their ability to be mindful, believing that this capacity is immutable. This, in turn, can lead to decreased effort [37,38]. Individuals with a fixed mindset would therefore benefit from tailored instruction that would promote a growth mindset.

Maintaining engagement

As described briefly above, users of many d-MBIs have problematically low adherence. Several studies assessing d-MBIs report high participant drop-out, with one review paper reporting attrition rates ranging from 7.7% to 52.3% [39]. Furthermore, some participants report having difficulty staying engaged with d-MBI exercises. Instead, users sometimes end up using the meditation practices as a time to intentionally engage in ruminative thinking and to create a mental to-do list [40^{*}].

Effective learning

Effective learning includes not only the retention of factual knowledge, but also a conceptual understanding that allows for the flexible use, transfer, and application of knowledge across contexts [41]. Achieving effective learning is a challenge in any context, and this is certainly true for d-MBIs. Participants of MBIs have reported struggling to grasp the core concepts of mindfulness, expressed uncertainty that they're practicing correctly, or misinterpreted the purpose of the intervention entirely [40^{*},42].

Troubleshooting

It is inevitable that individuals will encounter obstacles and frustration when training in mindfulness. Users of d-MBIs have reported experiencing negative thoughts and anxious feelings during meditations [9^{*},40^{*}], as well as a desire to discuss these emerging thoughts and feelings with an instructor or peers [9^{*}]. Other challenges that arise are discomfort, difficulty sustaining focus, feeling self-critical, and doubts that mindfulness is helpful [40^{*}]. Leaving these challenges unaddressed leads to decreased engagement [9^{*},40^{*},43].

Best practices

Although d-MBIs face numerous challenges, these issues can be mitigated by creatively applying the best practices in digital learning.

Defining and understanding your audience

If program creators are to build optimally effective d-MBIs, they must first select and understand their audience. Defining-specific target audience demographics early on paves the way for user research. Conducting user research on target audience behaviors, needs, and motivations can inform the design and content of a d-MBI. User research methodologies can include surveys, focus groups, persona development, and individual interviews, among others [44].

Selecting learning objectives and target outcomes

After defining and understanding a target audience, program creators can make an informed decision when selecting learning objectives and training outcomes that are most relevant to their audience. For example, if the target audience has a clinical diagnosis of ADHD, learning objectives might consist of *cultivating a growth mindset about attention* and a target outcome might be *improving sustained attention*. Selected learning objectives and target outcomes can then inform the design of program content to increase the d-MBI's relevance and efficacy [45].

Addressing audience diversity

Members of a specific target audience often share certain characteristics, but heterogeneity will undoubtedly exist. Personalization of program content can facilitate individual engagement and improve learning outcomes by aligning a user's experience with their existing knowledge, interests, and goals [46,47,48^{*}].

Maintaining engagement

Sustained engagement throughout the entirety of a d-MBI is essential. This can be achieved through personalization [12,13], chunking content into short segments [49], enhancing intrinsic motivation by designing content that is truly interesting [48^{*}], and embedding frequent constructive learning exercises that help users engage with content [50].

Effective learning

A number of best practices exist for enhancing the effectiveness of digital instruction [49]. For example, materials should be broken into segments and reviewed regularly [51]. It is also well-established that including graphics and visuals significantly improves learning [52]. Digital instruction is also enhanced when extraneous words, graphics, and sounds are omitted [53]. Working memory capacity is limited, so presentational clutter can prevent processing of key concepts [49].

Troubleshooting

d-MBIs possess the capability to proactively address challenges that may be faced by users. Anticipating common challenges early on, identifying challenges as they arise, and offering support in an efficient and accessible manner can mitigate many issues that occur [54,55]. For example, when a challenge is identified, users can be directed to a support page that provides responses to a list of common challenges experienced. Moreover, discussion forums can connect users, providing a community of individuals who may be willing to listen, offer support, and share their own challenges. If possible, trained staff and counselors can provide emotional support when necessary [56].

Conclusion

Given the widespread use of mindfulness apps and digital courses, d-MBIs are rapidly becoming the predominant way that people around the world are introduced to mindfulness. This understandably gives some people pause, as the direct transmission of mindfulness training from instructor to student has been the norm until recently. As noted, although this distribution method is promising, there are challenges to a digital approach. The list of challenges described presently is not a complete account, and additional obstacles such as community building and embodiment are important for d-MBIs to address.

Despite these challenges, there is now evidence that the intersection of mindfulness and technology is already producing d-MBIs capable of eliciting meaningful benefits. Arguably, the rapidly unfolding digital revolution could succeed not only in improving the accessibility of mindfulness training, but also in increasing its efficacy. If the design of d-MBIs is guided by emerging literature on best practices and combined with an empirical approach of constant iteration and improvement, the highest quality mindfulness training may eventually be accessible to anyone with an internet-connected device. Then wherever you go, there it is.

Conflict of interest statement

Nothing declared.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
 - of outstanding interest
1. Chaykowski K, Headspace Meet: *The App That Made Meditation A \$250 Million Business*. Forbes; 2017 . (accessed August 15, 2018) <https://www.forbes.com/sites/kathleenchaykowski/2017/01/08/meet-headspace-the-app-that-made-meditation-a-250-million-business/#233f2b131f1b>.
 2. Payne HE, Lister C, West JH, Bernhardt JM: **Behavioral functionality of mobile apps in health interventions: a systematic review of the literature**. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth* 2015, **3** <http://dx.doi.org/10.2196/mhealth.3335>.
 3. Garlick H: *The Madness of Mindfulness*. Financial Times; 2017 . (accessed August 15, 2018) <https://www.ft.com/content/9b8c0c6e-e805-11e6-967b-c88452263daf>.
 4. Potter D, Palouse mindfulness, Mindfulness Based Stress Reduction. (n.d.).
 5. Greene D, Mullins M, Baggett P, Cherry D: **Self-care for helping professionals: students' perceived stress, coping self-efficacy, and subjective experiences**. *J Baccalaureate Soc Work* 2017, **22**:1-16 <http://dx.doi.org/10.18084/1084-7219.22.1.1>.
 6. Asuncion JV, Fichten CS, Ferraro V, Chwojka C, Barile M, Nguyen MN, Wolforth J: **Multiple perspectives on the accessibility of e-learning in Canadian colleges and universities**. *Assist Technol* 2010, **22**:187-199 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10400430903519944>.
 7. Fichten CS, Asuncion JV, Barile M, Fossey M, de Simone C: **Access to educational and instructional computer technologies for post-secondary students with disabilities: lessons from three empirical studies**. *J Educ Media* 2000, **25**:179-201 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/1358165000250303>.
 8. Bernard RM, Abrami PC, Lou Y, Borokhovski E, Wade A, Wozney L, Wallet PA, Fiset M, Huang B: **How does distance education compare with classroom instruction? A meta-analysis of the empirical literature**. *Rev Educ Res* 2004, **74**:379-439 <http://dx.doi.org/10.3102/00346543074003379>.
 9. Stjernswärd S, Hansson L: **Outcome of a web-based mindfulness intervention for families living with mental illness – a feasibility study**. *Inform Health Soc Care* 2017, **42**:97-108 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17538157.2016.1177533>.
This d-MBI was used with 97 participants who had a relative diagnosed with mental illness. Results showed significant improvements in levels of mindfulness, perceived stress, caregiver burden, and self-compassion from pre to post and at follow-up. d-MBI satisfaction and feasibility were rated high amongst participants.
 10. Puziffero M, Shelton K: **A model for developing high-quality online courses: integrating a systems approach with learning theory**. *J Asynchronous Learn Netw* 2008, **12**:119-136.
 11. Clarke T, Kenney J, Hermens A: **The political economy of e-learning educational development: strategies, standardisation and scalability**. *Educ + Train* 2004, **46**:370-379 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/00400910410555286>.
 12. Dixon MD: **Creating effective student engagement in online courses: what do students find engaging?** *J Scholarsh Teach Learn* 2010, **10**:1-13.
 13. Wang T-H: *Developing an Assessment-Centered E-Learning System For Improving Student Learning Effectiveness - ScienceDirect*. Elsevier; 2013. (accessed August 13, 2018) <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360131513003266>.
 14. Maki RH, Maki WS: *Online Courses, Handbook of Applied Cognition*. Wiley-Blackwell; 2008:527-552 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9780470713181.ch20>.
 15. Krusche A, Cyhlarova E, Williams JMG: **Mindfulness online: an evaluation of the feasibility of a web-based mindfulness course for stress, anxiety and depression**. *BMJ Open* 2013, **3** <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2013-003498> e003498.
 16. David Creswell J: **Mindfulness Interventions**. *Annu Rev Psychol* 2017, **68**:491-516.
 17. Stjernswärd S, Hansson L: **User value and usability of a web-based mindfulness intervention for families living with mental health problems**. *Health Soc Care Commun* 2017, **25**:700-709 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/hsc.12360>.
 18. Shore R, Strauss C, Cavanagh K, Hayward M, Ellett L: **A randomised controlled trial of a brief online mindfulness-based intervention on paranoia in a non-clinical sample**. *Mindfulness* 2018, **9**:294-302 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12671-017-0774-2>.
After delivering just an hour-long d-MBI that introduced 110 participants to mindfulness, Shore *et al.* reported improvements in participant scores

on the Acting with Awareness subscale of the FFMQ at post-test and 1-week follow up assessments.

19. Noone C, Hogan MJ: **A randomised active-controlled trial to examine the effects of an online mindfulness intervention on executive control, critical thinking and key thinking dispositions in a university student sample.** *BMC Psychol* 2018, **6**(13) <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s40359-018-0226-3>.
 20. Kemper KJ: **Brief online mindfulness training: immediate impact.** *J Evid Based Complement Altern Med* 2017, **22**:75-80 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/2156587216639199>.
 21. Bennike IH, Wieghorst A, Kirk U: **Online-based mindfulness training reduces behavioral markers of mind wandering.** *J Cogn Enhanc* 2017, **1**:172-181 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s41465-017-0020-9>.
 22. Jayawardene WP, Lohrmann DK, Erbe RG, Torabi MR: **Effects of preventive online mindfulness interventions on stress and mindfulness: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials.** *Prevent Med Rep* 2017, **5**:150-159 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pmedr.2016.11.013>.
- This comprehensive meta-analysis of eight separate randomized controlled trials found a significant, medium effect size for d-MBIs on perceived stress. The digital interventions were all administered online, and most were adapted from the MBSR protocol, although they varied in duration from two to twelve weeks.
23. Kabat-Zinn J: **Mindfulness-based interventions in context: past, present, and future.** *Clin Psychol: Sci Pract* 2003, **10**:144-156 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/clipsy.bpg016>.
 24. Gu J, Cavanagh K, Strauss C: **Investigating the specific effects of an online mindfulness-based self-help intervention on stress and underlying mechanisms.** *Mindfulness* 2018, **9**:1245-1257 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12671-017-0867-y>.
 25. Economides M, Martman J, Bell MJ, Sanderson B: **Improvements in stress, affect, and irritability following brief use of a mindfulness-based smartphone app: a randomized controlled trial.** *Mindfulness* 2018:1-10 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12671-018-0905-4>.
 26. Yang, Schamber, Meyer, Gold: **Happier healers: randomized controlled trial of mobile mindfulness for stress management.** *J Altern Complement Med* 2018, **24** (accessed August 16, 2018) <https://www.liebertpub.com/doi/abs/10.1089/acm.2015.0301>.
 27. Bailey NW, Nguyen J, Bialylew E, Corin SE, Gilbertson T, Chambers R, Fitzgerald PB: **Effect on well-being from an online mindfulness intervention: "Mindful in May."** *Mindfulness* 2018:1-11 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12671-018-0910-7>.
 28. Spadaro KC, Hunker DF: **Exploring the effects of an online asynchronous mindfulness meditation intervention with nursing students on stress, mood, and cognition: a descriptive study.** *Nurse Educ Today* 2016, **39**:163-169 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2016.02.006>.
 29. Ralston E: **The influence of an application-based mindfulness intervention on self-reported rumination, stress, emotional intelligence and life satisfaction in undergraduate students.** *MMU Psychol J* 2016 . (accessed August 16, 2018) <https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/617889/>.
 30. Lindsay, Young, Smyth, Brown, Creswell, Acceptance lowers stress reactivity: dismantling mindfulness training in a randomized controlled trial, (n.d.). doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2017.09.015>.
 31. Boettcher J, Åström V, Pålsson D, Schenström O, Andersson G, Carlbring P: **Internet-based mindfulness treatment for anxiety disorders: a randomized controlled trial.** *Behav Ther* 2014, **45**:241-253 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.beth.2013.11.003>.
 32. Querstret D, Cropley M, Fife-Schaw C: **The effects of an online mindfulness intervention on perceived stress, depression and anxiety in a non-clinical sample: a randomised waitlist control trial.** *Mindfulness* 2018, **9**:1825-1836 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12671-018-0925-0>.
 33. Krusche A, Dymond M, Murphy SE, Crane C: **Mindfulness for pregnancy: a randomised controlled study of online mindfulness during pregnancy.** *Midwifery* 2018, **65**:51-57 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2018.07.005>.
 34. Cavanagh K, Strauss C, Cicconi F, Griffiths N, Wyper A, Jones F: **A randomised controlled trial of a brief online mindfulness-based intervention.** *Behav Res Ther* 2013, **51**:573-578 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2013.06.003>.
 35. Sobczak LR, West LM: **Clinical considerations in using mindfulness- and acceptance-based approaches with diverse populations: addressing challenges in service delivery in diverse community settings.** *Cogn Behav Pract* 2013, **20**:13-22 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpra.2011.08.005>.
 36. Querstret D, Cropley M, Fife-Schaw C: **Internet-based instructor-led mindfulness for work-related rumination, fatigue, and sleep: assessing facets of mindfulness as mechanisms of change. A randomized waitlist control trial.** *J Occup Health Psychol* 2017, **22**:153-169 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/ocp0000028>.
- Querstret, Cropley & Fife-Schaw administered a four-week d-MBI that consisted of lessons and guided meditations to a community sample. The researchers reported significant reductions in both depressive symptoms and anxiety in participants who completed their brief d-MBI.
37. Hong Y, Chiu C, Dweck CS, Lin M-S, Wan W: **Implicit theories, attributions, and coping: a meaning system approach.** *J Pers Soc Psychol* 1999, **77**:588-599 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.77.3.588>.
 38. Robins RW, Pals JL: **Implicit self-theories in the academic domain: implications for goal orientation, attributions, affect, and self-esteem change.** *Self Identity* 2002, **1**:313-336 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15298860290106805>.
 39. Fish J, Brimson J, Lynch S: **Mindfulness interventions delivered by technology without facilitator involvement: what research exists and what are the clinical outcomes?** *Mindfulness* 2016, **7**:1011-1023 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12671-016-0548-2>.
 40. Banerjee M, Cavanagh K, Strauss C: **A qualitative study with healthcare staff exploring the facilitators and barriers to engaging in a self-help mindfulness-based intervention.** *Mindfulness* 2017, **8**:1653-1664 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12671-017-0740-z>.
- Banerjee, Cavanagh & Strauss interviewed 16 healthcare staff members who participated in a mindfulness-based self-help intervention. "Attitude towards engagement", "intervention characteristics", "process of change" and "perceived consequences" were identified as four overarching themes that characterized facilitation and hinderance to engagement in the intervention. Key facilitators to engagement reported by the sample included shorter practices, motivation to reduce stress, and an increased feeling of control over thoughts.
41. National Research Council, D. of B. and S.S. and Education, B. on B. Sciences Cognitive, and Sensory, C. on D. in the S. of L. with additional material from the C. on L.R. and E. Practice: *How People Learn: Brain, Mind, Experience, and School: Expanded Edition.* National Academies Press; 2000.
 42. Moss D, Waugh M, Barnes R: **A tool for life? Mindfulness as self-help or safe uncertainty.** *Int J Qual Stud Health Well-Being* 2008, **3**:132-142 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17482620801939592>.
 43. Banerjee M, Cavanagh K, Strauss C: **Barriers to mindfulness: a path analytic model exploring the role of rumination and worry in predicting psychological and physical engagement in an online mindfulness-based intervention.** *Mindfulness (NY)* 2018, **9**:980-992 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12671-017-0837-4>.
 44. Baxter K, Courage C, Caine K: *Understanding Your Users: A Practical Guide to User Research Methods.* 2nd ed.. Morgan Kaufmann; 2015.
 45. National Research Council: *Knowing What Students Know: The Science and Design of Educational Assessment.* Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2001 <http://dx.doi.org/10.17226/10019>.
 46. Grant LK, Courtoreille M: **Comparison of fixed-item and response-sensitive versions of an online tutorial.** *Psychol Rec* 2007, **57**:265-272 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF03395576>.
 47. Wang KH, Wang TH, Wang WL, Huang SC: **Learning styles and formative assessment strategy: enhancing student achievement in web-based learning.** *J Comput Assist Learn* 2006, **22**:207-217 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2729.2006.00166.x>.

48. Harackiewicz JM, Smith JL, Priniski SJ: **Interest matters: the importance of promoting interest in education.** *Policy Insights Behav Brain Sci* 2016, **3**:220-227 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/2372732216655542>.
- Enhancing interest is a priority for educators if students are to most effectively attend and engage with their academics. Situational interest evoked by feelings of enjoyment and excitement toward a topic can transform over time into durable individual interest in particular subjects. The incorporation of attention-grabbing structural features, context personalization, problem-based learning, and utility-value interventions can be used to develop interest.
49. Clark RC, Mayer RE: *e-Learning and the Science of Instruction: Proven Guidelines for Consumers and Designers of Multimedia Learning*. 4th ed.. Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 2016 . (accessed August 15, 2018) In: <https://www.wiley.com/en-us/e+Learning+and+the+Science+of+Instruction%3A+Proven+Guidelines+for+Consumers+and+Designers+of+Multimedia+Learning%2C+4th+Edition-p-9781119158660>.
50. Means B, Toyama Y, Murphy R, Bakia M, Jones K: *Evaluation of Evidence-Based Practices in Online Learning: A Meta-Analysis and Review of Online Learning Studies*. Washington, D.C: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Planning, Evaluation, and Policy Development; 2009 . (accessed August 15, 2018) In: <http://repository.alt.ac.uk/629/>.
51. Bernard RM, Abrami PC, Borokhovski E, Wade CA, Tamim RM, Surkes MA, Bethel EC: **A meta-analysis of three types of interaction treatments in distance education.** *Rev Educ Res* 2009, **79**:1243-1289 <http://dx.doi.org/10.3102/0034654309333844>.
52. Butcher KR: **The Multimedia Principle.** In *The Cambridge Handbook of Multimedia Learning*, 2nd ed.. Edited by Mayer RE. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press; 2014:117-134.
53. Mayer RE, Jackson J: **The case for coherence in scientific explanations: quantitative details can hurt qualitative understanding.** *J Exp Psychol: Appl* 2005, **11**:13.
54. Castles J: **Persistence and the adult learner: factors affecting persistence in open university students.** *Act Learn Higher Educ* 2004, **5**:166-179 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1469787404043813>.
55. Perry B, Boman J, Care WD, Edwards M, Park C: **Why do students withdraw from online graduate nursing and health studies education?** *J Educ Online* 2008, **5**:1-17.
56. Müller T: **Persistence of women in online degree-completion programs.** *Int Rev Res Open Distrib Learn* 2008, **9**:1-18.